

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/975,219 10/11/2001 Ferdinand S. Signey TI-27954 7795 23494 7590 12/02/2003 **EXAMINER** TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED WINDMULLER, JOHN

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3724

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

þ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/975,219	SIGNEY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		John Windmuller	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	eptember 2003.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Applicat	ion Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first TCFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the first was included in the first sentence of the first was included in the first sentence of the first was included in the first sentence of the first was included in the first sentence of the first was included in the first was included	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicarity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 st sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 poissonal application has been reside priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been reside priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been reside priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application has been residence and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application application and the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 poissonal application applicati	tion No red in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachmen	• •	_		
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/975,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

. DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as set forth in paragraph 6 in the office action of 6/12/03.

- 2. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as set forth in paragraph 8 in the office action of 6/12/03.
- 3. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as set forth in paragraph 9 in the office action of 6/12/03.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 2 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant states that Drussel does not teach or suggest cutting the encapsulated circuitry. However, it is not necessary that Drussel teach this to meet the claims, since the claims make no mention of encapsulated circuitry. Furthermore, an integrated circuit package may be interpreted as a substrate or any circuitry mounted thereupon. Also, the claims make no mention of cutting the integrated circuit packages into singulated IC units, so Drussel's cutting of holes meets the claim 2 limitation of "cutting the integrated circuit package to a predetermined shape."

Application/Control Number: 09/975,219

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

Page 3

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Windmuller whose telephone number is 703 305-4988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703 308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-1148.

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

. -.